1	· ·
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	SAN JOSE DIVISION
8	
9	JAMES R. ZUEGEL, Case No. 5:17-cv-03249 BLF
10	Plaintiff, VERDICT FORM
11	MOUNTAIN VIEW POLICE
12	DEPARTMENT, et al.
13	Defendants.
14	·
15	
16	We, the jury, unanimously find by a preponderance of the evidence as follows:
17	
18	1. Did either Officer enter the Plaintiff's residence in violation of the Fourth
19	Amendment?
20	Patrick Ward: Yes No
21	Britton Moore: Yes No
22	
23	Please proceed to Question 2.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	1
	Verdict Form

- 11	
1	
2	
3	2. Did either Officer remain in the Plaintiff's residence in violation of the Fourth
4	Amendment?
5	Patrick Ward: Yes No Britton Moore: Yes No
6	Britton Moore: Yes No
7	
8	If you answered "Yes" for either officer in Question #1 or Question #2, please proceed to
9	Question #3. If you answered "No" to both Questions as to both Officers, then please proceed to
10	the end of this Verdict Form and have the jury foreperson sign and date the Verdict Form.
11	
12	3. Was the unlawful entry or remaining presence in the residence the product of a
13	deliberate indifference by the City of Mountain View to the need to properly train its police
14	officers?
15	Yes No
16	
17	If you answered Yes to Question 1, 2, or 3, answer Question 4.
18	
19	4. What are the total damages, if any, suffered by Plaintiff and caused by Defendant(s)'
20	wrongful conduct?
21	
22	Medical costs, mental or emotional suffering? \$\frac{3,000}{}
23	
24	
25 ·	Proceed to Question 5.
26	
27	
28	2
	Verdict Form

1	
2	5. For any Defendant you answered "Yes" to Question 1, 2, or 3, what percentage of
3	responsibility for Plaintiff's total damages do you assign to the wrongful conduct of each
4	Defendant?
5	Patrick Ward%
6	Britton Moore%
7	City of Mountain View%
8	Total <u>100%</u>
9	Please proceed to Questions #6.
10	
11	6. Did any Officer engage in conduct that was malicious, oppressive, or in reckless
12	disregard of Plaintiff's rights?
13	Patrick Ward: Yes No Britton Moore: Yes No
14	Britton Moore: Yes No
15	
16	
17	
18	Dated: Nov 20, 2020 Fahrafischlin
19	4:27 pm F.A- Foreperson
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Verdict Form
	Il A CI (III)